IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 56 OF 2006 Single Bench

PETITIONER : Aabid Ali Son of Shri Sukurullah, aged about 45 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Odgi, District Surguja (C.G.), Resident of Village Odgi, P.S. Odgi, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 51/06
Presented by Sh. Sanjeev Sastri
dated 4/1/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through: the Secretary, School Education Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The District Education Officer, Education District Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. Siyaram Pandey, Headmaster, Govt. Primary School Odgi, District Surguja (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
4/01/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

018

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक wp 56/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6-1-2006 Shri J.K. Shastri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been appointed as Assistant Block Education Officer, Odgi, District Sarguja vide order dated 30-5-2005 (Annexure-P/1). He further submits that all of sudden vide order dated 3-12-2005 (Annexure-P/2) in place of the petitioner, the respondent No.3 Siyaram Pandey, Head Master, has been appointed as Assistant Block Education Officer, Odgi, District Sarguja. He also submits that the respondent No.3 is going to retire on 30-4-2006 which is evident from Annexure-P/3. Learned counsel submits that for continuing on the post of Assistant Block Education Officer the petitioner sent representation by registered post to the Secretary of School Education Department on 16-12-2005 (Annexure-P/4), but the same has not been decided till date. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the respondents may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner. The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. In that view of the matter, the competent authority of the respondents to decide the representation dated 16-12-2005 submitted by the petitioner on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of ~~three~~ two months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.73/06 & I.A.No.180/06 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

Gowri

[पीछे देखिये